# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.

# ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION

		Benson Joe Paul	CR-02-00984-01-PCT-GMS Case Number: CR-16-08194-01-PCT-GMS
		e with FRCP 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 31 the following facts are established: (	43(a)(1), a detention hearing has been submitted to the Court. I <i>Check one or both, as applicable.</i> )
	the d	efendant is a danger to the community	and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in
	this c	ase.	
$\boxtimes$	the de	efendant is a serious flight risk and requ	ires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.
		PART I -	FINDINGS OF FACT
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The d	efendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local
		existed) that is  a crime of violence as defined an offense for which the max an offense for which a maxin	ral offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had a in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).  Immum sentence is life imprisonment or death.  Immum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in a interpretation.
		offenses described in 18 U.S.  □ any felony that involves a m	C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. inor victim or that involves the possession or use of a firearm or erms are defined in section 921), or any other dangerous weapon,
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offer on release pending trial for a federal,	nse described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was state or local offense.
	(3)	• • • • • • • •	od of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.
	(4)		ish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find is presumption.
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is prob ☐ for which a maximum term o ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 950	ernative Findings able cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense f imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

			an offense involving a minor victim under section <sup>2</sup>
	(2)		lefendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination
			nditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the
		Comm	nunity.  Alternative Findings
	(1)		e is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will nably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	(2)		ondition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
	(3)		e is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure,
₹	(4)		imidate a prospective witness or juror). lefendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight.
		]	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
	(1)		I that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and incing evidence as to danger that:
			menig evidence as to danger that.
₫	(2)		
₫	(2)		I that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
₫	(2)	I find	I that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
₫	(2)	I find	I that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
₫	(2)	I find	I that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
₫	(2)	I find	I that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.
3	(2)	I find	I that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
₫	(2)	I find	I that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.
₫	(2)	I find	I that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		I find	I that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		I find	I that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:  The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. §§1201, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

#### $\boxtimes$ In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of supervised release by changing his address without approval and without notifying the probation officer, absconding from supervision, and using alcohol. The defendant's whereabouts were unknown when the petition was filed. The Court finds that the defendant poses a risk of flight.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

## PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 18th day of January, 2019.

Bridget S. Bade

United States Magistrate Judge